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Background

• PhD funded by the Dubai Police

• Overall objectives
  – Improve investigative process
    • Digital forensic data recovery
    • Analysis & interpretation
    • Development of investigative models
  – Improve understanding of offender motivations, behaviour etc.

• Multidisciplinary approach
Offender Profiling

• Role in investigative process
• Create profile of demographic, behavioural & psychological characteristics of offenders
• Inductive approaches
  – Data collected from convicted offenders
  – Statistical analysis of psychological & behavioural characteristics (Kocsis, 2006)
  – Generalization to develop offender taxonomies (e.g., serial homicide)
  – Used to develop profile of a suspect
  – Reduce list of potential suspects
Limitations

• Validity of data collected from offenders
• Subjectivity of analysis
• Insufficient consideration of full evidence at case level
• Limited investigative utility
Deductive Approaches

• Case-based approach
• Examine specific demographic, behavioural & psychological characteristics of offender
• Develop profile specific to specific case only
• Reduce list of potential suspects
• No reliance on generalization from sample groups (Turvey, 1999)
Behavioral Evidence Analysis (Turvey, 2011)

- Uses forensic evidence to understand & reconstruct offender behaviour
  - Equivocal forensic analysis of all available case data (e.g., what, where, who, how?)
  - Victimology (e.g., characteristics, selection criteria)
  - Crime scene characteristics (e.g., behaviours necessary to commit the crime)
  - Determine offender characteristics (e.g., motivations, psychological traits)
- Produce more accurate & complete reconstruction of the crime
Cyberstalking

• A collection of behaviours where one or more persons use IT to repeatedly pursue and harass another person or group in order to cause them to experience fear, alarm, and feel threatened.

• Significant investigative and evidential challenges.

• No clear profile of offenders
  – Motivations similar to those identified in online offenders (e.g., exert control over victims, seek intimacy)
  – Use stalking behaviour to cope with relationship breakdown and emotional needs

• Small number of offender typologies (e.g., McFarlane and Bocij, 2003)
## McFarlane and Bocij Typology (2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Behaviours associated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vindictive cyberstalker</td>
<td>Is characterised by their relentless harassment of the victim without any particular reason. They are often suffering from psychological difficulties (e.g., diagnosable personality disorder).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composed cyberstalker</td>
<td>Aims to cause constant annoyance and irritation to the targeted victim. They have no desire to establishing a relationship with the victim, but enjoy causing them distress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intimate cyberstalker</td>
<td>Is characterised by the desire to attract the attention and affection of the victim. This stalker usually has detailed knowledge of the person being targeted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective cyberstalker</td>
<td>Consist of two or more individuals who pursue the same victim. The computer skills of this group are higher than the other three groups.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rationale & Aims

• Behaviours associated with each cyberstalking offending category generate specific forms of digital evidence

• Aims
  – Utility of BEA in investigating cyberstalking offending
  – Contribution to improved investigative process
  – Potential contribution to understanding offender behaviour & motivations
Methodology

• The study was conducted using cases from the Department of Electronic Evidence, Dubai Police.
• The study used a deductive, case-based, approach that analysed individual cases separately and applied the four strategies of BEA:
  – Equivocal Forensics Analysis
  – Victimology
  – Crime scene characteristics
  – Offender characteristics
Methodology

• Criterion-based sampling used:
  – Availability of bit-wise image files
  – Victim experience of behaviour which met the definition of cyberstalking
  – Use of a computer as the main offending platform

• Total number of 20 cases including 31 computers.
Results
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Victims</th>
<th>Offenders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age range</strong></td>
<td><strong>23 - 48</strong></td>
<td><strong>21 – 63</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - 30</td>
<td><strong>8/20 (40%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>4/20 (20%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 - 40</td>
<td><strong>6/20 (30%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>7/20 (35%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41+</td>
<td><strong>6/20 (30%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>9/20 (45%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td><strong>15/20 (75%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>4/20 (20%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td><strong>5/20 (25%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>16/20 (80%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td><strong>6/20 (30%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>7/20 (35%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Eastern</td>
<td><strong>9/20 (45%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>8/20 (40%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asian/South Asian</td>
<td><strong>5/20 (25%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>5/20 (25%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-intimates</td>
<td>7/20 (35%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquaintances</td>
<td>2/20 (10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Colleagues</td>
<td>8/20 (40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met online</td>
<td>2/20 (10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1/20 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stalker-victim behaviour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male-female</td>
<td>12/20 (60%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female-male</td>
<td>1/20 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female-female</td>
<td>3/20 (15%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male-male</td>
<td>4/20 (20%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offending behaviour</td>
<td>Victims</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stalking duration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months or less</td>
<td>12/20 (60%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 months-1 year</td>
<td>4/20 (20%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>1/20 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3/20 (15%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Means of contact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emails</td>
<td>11/20 (55%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Networking Websites</td>
<td>5/20 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forums and Bulletin Boards</td>
<td>1/20 (5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dating Websites</td>
<td>3/20 (15%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyberstalkers MOD &amp; probable motivation</td>
<td>Cyberstalker actions/quotes from digital evidence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **False accusation of victims/defamation** | - Posting obscene/morphed images of victim on social networking sites.  
   - Posting images and personal information of the victim on dating websites. |
| **Proclamations of love** | - Sending repeated emails about memories of their past relationship.  
   - Sending excessively needy and demanding emails.  
   - Sending intimate/pornographic images. |
| **Vengeance/anger** | - "You will regret what you did for the rest of your life!"  
   - "Wherever you are... I will come and get you." |
| **Collecting information about the victim/Tracing the victim** | - Remotely accessing the victim’s computer.  
   - Gathering information on the victim and organizing them in folders. |
BEA as an investigative tool in Digital Forensics

• Focus, Speed, and Investigative Directions
  – Provided specific focus for subsequent search strategies which helped identify relevant evidence
  – Helped identify the starting point for evidence recovery rather than having an unfocused search of a huge number of potentially relevant evidence
BEA as an investigative tool in Digital Forensics

• Infer Behaviours of Victim/Offender and Motivations
  – Written communication revealed useful evidence in most of the cases (e.g., signature behaviour, motivation, relationship)
  – Web browser cache and history files.
  – User-created files and folders
BEA as an investigative tool in Digital Forensics

• Identify Potential Victims
  – Sorting and categorizing victims’ files indicate offender commitment to their behaviour as represented by the time and effort taken to organize victim information.
BEA as an investigative tool in Digital Forensics

• Eliminate Suspects
  – Correlating time stamps of the collected data to other time stamps (e.g., from statements of the victim and offender) can provide a timeline for the activities involving the offender and victim that can aid in the reconstruction of the crime.

(See Section 5.4 in the paper for example cases and detailed discussion of the value of this combined approach)
Conclusion

• Utility of the combined strategy of digital forensics analysis & BEA
  – Approach could be extended to other categories of cybercrime
  – Assess reliability & interpretation of digital evidence
  – Assist suspect interrogations through understanding specific offender characteristics & behaviours
  – Contribution to development of further understanding of dynamics of offending, risk assessment etc.
  – Establish method for investigators to justify the utility of specific digital evidence in prosecuting cyberstalking cases
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